Men’s masculinity and attractiveness predict their female partners’ reported orgasm frequency and timing
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Abstract

It has been hypothesized that female orgasm evolved to facilitate recruitment of high-quality genes for offspring. Supporting evidence indicates that female orgasm promotes conception, although this may be mediated by the timing of female orgasm in relation to male ejaculation. This hypothesis also predicts that women will achieve orgasm more frequently when copulating with high-quality males, but limited data exist to support this prediction. We therefore explored relationships between the timing and frequency of women’s orgasms and putative markers of the genetic quality of their mates, including measures of attractiveness, facial symmetry, dominance, and masculinity. We found that women reported more frequent and earlier-timed orgasms when mated to masculine and dominant men — those with high scores on a principle component characterized by high objectively-measured facial masculinity, observer-rated facial masculinity, partner-rated masculinity, and partner-rated dominance. Women reported more frequent orgasm during or after male ejaculation when mated to attractive men — those with high scores on a principle component characterized by high observer-rated and self-rated attractiveness. Putative measures of men’s genetic quality did not predict their mates’ orgasms from self-masturbation or from non-coital partnered sexual behavior. Overall, these results appear to support a role for female orgasm in sire choice.

© 2011 Published by Elsevier Inc.

Keywords: Evolution; Female orgasm; Good genes; Mate choice

1. Introduction

Female orgasm may have evolved to function in sire choice by increasing the probability of fertilization from high quality males (Puts, 2006, 2007; Puts & Dawood, 2006; Smith, 1984; Thornhill, Gangestad, & Comer, 1995). Such an adaptation could be favored by selection if some ancestral females mated (1) within a single ovulatory cycle with males who varied in quality and/or (2) in different ovulatory cycles with males of varying quality, but the costs of forgoing fertilization in one cycle were sometimes offset by the benefits of reproducing with a higher quality male in a future cycle.

Consistent with the sire choice hypothesis, several lines of evidence suggest that women’s orgasm promotes conception. For example, peristaltic uterine contractions transport sperm through the female reproductive tract in humans (Zervomanolakis et al., 2007, 2009) and nonhuman animals (Fox & Fox, 1971; Singer, 1973). These peristaltic contractions are induced both by electrical stimulation in nonhuman animals (Beyer, Anguiano, & Mena, 1961; Setekleiv, 1964) of brain regions activated during orgasm in women (Komisaruk et al., 2004) and by treatment in women with oxytocin (Wildt, Kisson, Licht, & Becker, 1998; Zervomanolakis et al., 2007, 2009), a hormone released during orgasm (Blaicher et al., 1999; Carmichael et al., 1987; Carmichael, Warburton, Dixen, & Davidson, 1994). Importantly, during the fertile phase of the ovulatory cycle, oxytocin induces the transport of a semen-like fluid into the oviduct with the dominant follicle (Wildt et al., 1998). Such directed transport should promote fertilization by bringing the sperm into proximity with the ovum and the oviductal epithelium. Contact with oviductal epithelium may prolong sperm longevity, increase the number of capacitated sperm (sperm capable of fertilizing an ovum), and lengthen the interval over which some...
sperm in an ejaculate are capacitated (Smith, 1998; Suarez, 1998, but see Levin, 2002).

Orgasm (Fox, Wolff, & Baker, 1970) and oxytocin (Wildt et al., 1998) may reverse uterine pressure from outward to inward, which may prevent sperm loss from “flowback” and aid sperm in reaching the oviducts. Indeed, Baker and Bellis (1993) found that female orgasm predicted greater sperm retention, although these results have been questioned (Lloyd, 2005, but see Puts & Dawood, 2006). Female orgasm may also allow the earlier entry of sperm into the cervix by resolving the “vaginal tenting” of sexual arousal, which elevates the cervix from the posterior vaginal wall, removing it from the semen pool (Levin, 2002). This should remove sperm from the more hostile environment of the vagina, prevent sperm loss, and help sperm reach the oviducts (Fox & Fox, 1971). Prolactin secretion during orgasm may also capacitate sperm (Meston, Levin, Sipski, Hull, & Heiman, 2004). Oxytocin, which at least one member opted out after participating, did not consent to being photographed or exhibited facially.

The major histocompatibility complex (MHC) is the main genomic region mediating disease resistance, and mating with MHC-compatible mates (those discordant at MHC loci) should produce offspring with stronger immune systems (Potts & Wakeland, 1993). Olfactory preferences for MHC-compatible mates have been observed across vertebrate taxa, including humans (reviewed in Roberts & Little, 2008, see also Chaix, Cao, & Donnelly, 2008; Lie, Rhodes, & Simmons, 2008; Roberts et al., 2005). Women reported more orgasms if their MHC genes were complementary with their partner’s, but only during the fertile ovulatory cycle phase (Garver-Apgar, Gangestad, Thornhill, Miller, & Olp, 2006).

Physical attractiveness is another putative measure of genetic quality (Andersson, 1994; Gangestad & Buss, 1993; Grammer, Fink, Moller, & Thornhill, 2003). Men’s attractiveness predicted their female partner’s copulatory orgasm frequency, although men’s partners assessed attractiveness, so orgasm may have caused women to find their partners more attractive, rather than the reverse (Shackelford et al., 2000). In another study, women’s reported copulatory orgasms were marginally significantly more frequent if their mates were independently rated as being more attractive and significantly more frequent if their mates had lower bodily fluctuating asymmetry (FA, a symmetric, a putative inverse measure of genetic quality) (Thornhill et al., 1995).

Androgen-dependent, masculine traits may also indicate heritable fitness because androgens may be produced in proportion to inherited immunocompetence (Folstad & Karter, 1992) and in inverse proportion to number of harmful mutations (Zahavi & Zahavi, 1997). In addition, many masculine traits may have originated in men primarily through male dominance contests rather than female choice (Puts, 2010) but may be especially strong indicators of genetic quality. This is because traits used in contests tend to be costly to produce, constantly tested by competitors, and thus should provide accurate information about male quality to potential mates (Berglund, Bisazza, & Pilastro, 1993). However, we are aware of no study that has explored relationships between men’s masculinity or dominance and orgasm in their mates.

We therefore examined relationships between putative markers of men’s genetic quality: attractiveness ratings, dominance ratings, facial FA and masculinity (rated and objectively measured from facial images) —and the frequency and timing of copulatory orgasm in their female partners.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Participants were drawn from a larger study of relationship formation comprising 117 heterosexual couples from a north-eastern USA university. Excluding couples in which at least one member opted out after participating,
injury, our sample included 110 men (mean age=20.76, S.D.=3.37, range=18–45) and 110 women (mean age=20.12, S.D.=1.92, range=18–28). One hundred and eight men identified as white, one as Filipino and one as Hispanic; 104 women identified as white, and one each identified as American Indian, Asian Indian, Hispanic and Native Hawaiian. Participants were compensated with either US $14 or course credit.

2.2. Procedures

Participants attended two laboratory sessions 1 week apart. During the first session, we photographed participants in a windowless laboratory with consistent overhead lighting, using an 8.0-megapixel Olympus E-300 digital camera with built-in flash, a focal distance of approximately 2 m and standardized white-balance. Participants removed spectacles and facial jewelry, maintained a neutral expression, ensured that their heads were not tilted and used hair bands to remove hair from forehead and ears.

During both sessions, participants completed a questionnaire at private computer workstations. They reported date of birth and relationship length to the nearest month. On 10-point scales (1=not at all, 10=very), women rated their own attractiveness and their partner’s dominance and masculinity; men rated their own attractiveness, dominance and masculinity and their partner’s femininity.

Using items modified from Thornhill et al. (1995), we asked the percentage of time that participants experienced orgasm (a) during sex with their partner in ways other than sexual intercourse (e.g., oral sex), (b) during sexual intercourse (vaginal penetration with the penis), (c) before their partner during sexual intercourse, (d) after their partner during sexual intercourse or (e) at the same time as their partner during sexual intercourse. In addition, we asked the percentage of time that participants experienced orgasm during self-masturbation. Responses from the two sessions were averaged. Women’s reports of their relationship length and orgasm frequencies are used in the present study.

2.3. Masculinity and symmetry measurement

Using specialist software, we produced nine sexually dimorphic measures from distances between facial landmarks and used these measures to calculate a composite index of facial masculinity (Burriss, Roberts, Welling, Puts, & Little, in press). We also assessed horizontal and vertical asymmetry following Scheib, Gangestad, and Thornhill (1999), summing these for an index of overall facial asymmetry.

2.4. Masculinity and attractiveness ratings

For 70 couples, both partners consented to having their photograph used in internet-based research. We rotated and scaled photographs of these participants so that pupils lay on a horizontal line, and interpupillary distance was constant across photographs. We then masked photographs to obscure hair, neck and clothing (Fig. 1). Nine women and nine men at a northwest UK university rated the photographs for attractiveness (seven-point scale: 1=very unattractive, 7=very attractive) and masculinity (1=very feminine, 7=very masculine). We instructed judges to rate masculinity against that of other persons of the same sex. Order of stimulus presentation and the rating tasks (female attractiveness, male attractiveness, female masculinity, male masculinity) were randomized. Each face received a mean other-rated attractiveness and mean other-rated masculinity score.

3. Results

Descriptive statistics are reported in Table 1.

3.1. Principle components analyses

We performed separate principle components analyses (PCA) on variables related to male quality, female quality and female orgasm frequency. Components with eigenvalues >1 were varimax-rotated and saved as variables. In order to identify non-overlapping components of male and female quality and female orgasm frequency and to maximize interpretability of the results, we chose varimax rotation, which produces orthogonal (uncorrelated) components and
tends to produce either large or small loadings of each
variable onto a particular factor.

For the PCA performed on male traits (Tables 2 and 3),
other-rated facial masculinity, facial masculinity index,
partner-rated masculinity and partner-rated dominance
loaded heavily on to PC1 (“Male Masculinity”). Other-
rated facial attractiveness and self-rated attractiveness loaded
heavily onto PC2 (“Male Attractiveness”). Men’s self-rated
masculine and masculinity loaded heavily onto PC3 (“Self-
Rated Male Dominance”).

For the PCA of female traits (Tables 4 and 5), other-
rated masculinity and masculinity index loaded heavily
positively, and other-rated attractiveness loaded heavily
negatively onto PC1 (“Female Masculinity”). Partner-
rated femininity and age loaded heavily negatively and
eventually, respectively, onto PC2 (“Partner-rated Female
Masculinity”). Self-rated attractiveness loaded heavily
positively, and asymmetry index loaded heavily negatively,
on to PC3 (“Self-Rated Female Attractiveness/Symmetry”).

For the PCA performed on female orgasm frequencies
(Tables 6 and 7), frequency of female coital orgasm before
male orgasm and frequency of female orgasm
frequency during coitus loaded heavily onto PC1 (“Female Coital
Orgasm Before/Total”). Frequency of female coital
goal after male orgasm and frequency during male
orgasm loaded heavily onto PC2 (“Female Coital Orgasm
After/During”). Frequency of female orgasm during self-
masturbation and frequency of non-coital female orgasms

\[ \text{Table 2} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t2.4</td>
<td>Part-rat. dom.</td>
<td>.51*** (115)</td>
<td>.06 (112)</td>
<td>.18 (110)</td>
<td>.26** (112)</td>
<td>.08 (70)</td>
<td>.22 (70)</td>
<td>.02 (110)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t2.5</td>
<td>Part-rat. masc.</td>
<td>.06 (112)</td>
<td>.28** (110)</td>
<td>.47*** (112)</td>
<td>-.11 (70)</td>
<td>.23 (70)</td>
<td>.06 (110)</td>
<td>.29** (110)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t2.6</td>
<td>Self-rat. attr.</td>
<td>.36*** (112)</td>
<td>.35*** (114)</td>
<td>.60** (71)</td>
<td>.14 (71)</td>
<td>.12 (110)</td>
<td>.06 (110)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t2.7</td>
<td>Self-rat. dom.</td>
<td>.57*** (112)</td>
<td>.15 (71)</td>
<td>.24 (71)</td>
<td>.08 (71)</td>
<td>-.01 (108)</td>
<td>.02 (108)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t2.8</td>
<td>Self-rat. masc.</td>
<td>.11 (71)</td>
<td>.13 (71)</td>
<td>.06 (70)</td>
<td>.02 (110)</td>
<td>.17 (110)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t2.9</td>
<td>Other-rat. fac. attr.</td>
<td>.39*** (71)</td>
<td>.16 (70)</td>
<td>.06 (70)</td>
<td>.02 (110)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t2.10</td>
<td>Other-rat. fac. masc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t2.11</td>
<td>Fac. asym. index</td>
<td>.05 (70)</td>
<td>.51*** (70)</td>
<td>.13 (110)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* Mean calculated on midpoints of intervals.
with partner loaded heavily onto PC3 ("Female Non-coital Orgasm").

3.2. Multiple regression

Components of male quality were entered into separate multiple regression models to predict each component of female orgasm frequency, controlling for components of female quality and relationship duration.

Male Masculinity positively ($r=2.18$, $\beta=36$, $p=0.039$) and Male Self-rated Dominance negatively ($r=2.34$, $\beta=-39$, $p=0.027$) predicted Female Coital Orgasm Before/Total (all other $p>.10$; model: $F_{32,7}=2.40$, $R=63$, $p=0.050$, Table 8). Male Attractiveness ($r=2.96$, $\beta=50$, $p=0.007$) and relationship length ($r=2.56$, $\beta=43$, $p=0.017$) significantly predicted Female Coital Orgasm After/During (all other $p>.12$; model: $F_{32,7}=2.43$, $R=64$, $p=0.048$, Table 9). Self-Rated Male Dominance ($r=-2.92$, $\beta=-54$, $p=0.007$) significantly negatively predicted Female Non-coital Orgasm (all other $p>.38$; model: $F_{32,7}=1.36$, $R=53$, $p=0.265$, Table 10). Entering men’s age into these analyses did not alter the results.

4. Discussion

Approximately 70% of the variation among women in copulatory orgasm frequencies is due to environmental differences (Dawood, Kirk, Bailey, Andrews, & Martin, 2005; Dunn, Cherkas, & Spector, 2005), although this estimate subserves measurement error and all nongenetic influences, including psychosocial development (Cohen & Belsky, 2008; Harris, Cherkas, Kato, Heiman, & Spector, 2008) and prenatal environment (Wallen & Lloyd, 2011).

Some of the environmental contribution to between-female variability in orgasm frequency results from variation in the quality of women’s sexual experience (Brody & Weiss, 2010; Pupo, 2010; Richters, Visser, Rissel, & Smith, 2004; Singh, Meyer, Zambarano, & Hurlbert, 1998; Weiss & Brody, 2009), including characteristics of their sexual partners (Garver-Apgar et al., 2006; Shackelford et al., 2000; Thornhill et al., 1995).

We found that objective measures of the quality of women’s mates—men’s attractiveness and masculinity—significantly predicted the women’s orgasms. Men’s...
masculinity, a putative indicator of genetic quality, positively predicted a component of women’s copulatory orgasm related to overall frequency and frequency before male ejaculation. Earlier-timed orgasms suggest more intense sexual arousal and indeed are associated with greater sexual pleasure (Darling et al., 1991). This positive affect may signal the realization of fitness benefits (Plutchik, 1980). Moreover, sexual arousal and orgasm stimulate oxytocin release (Carmichael et al., 1994), which causes the directed transport of a semen-like substance into the oviduct with the dominant follicle (Wildt et al., 1998). Thus, possible conception-promoting correlates of female orgasm may be especially effective and/or likely when copulation occurs with masculine males. Interestingly, this component of female orgasm was negatively predicted by male self-rated dominance and masculinity. Because more objective measures of male dominance, masculinity and attractiveness either weakly or negatively loaded onto the self-rated dominance/masculinity component, we suspect that self-rated dominance/masculinity measured something other than genetic quality.

We also found that male partners’ physical attractiveness, along with relationship length, predicted a component of women’s copulatory orgasm related to frequency during or after male ejaculation. Baker and Bellis (1993) found greater sperm retention associated with women’s orgasms occurring between 1 min before and 45 min after male ejaculation, a window roughly corresponding to the orgasm component that we identified. Indeed, Thornhill et al. (1995) found that men’s attractiveness marginally significantly predicted, and low male FA significantly predicted, the occurrence of women’s orgasms during or after male ejaculation, although FA did not load heavily onto any component of male quality in the present study.

Whereas men’s masculinity and attractiveness predicted the frequency and timing of women’s copulatory orgasms, these components did not predict women’s orgasms achieved through self-masturbation or non-coital sexual activity with a partner. This suggests that male sire quality increases female orgasm specifically during sexual behaviors that could result in conception, thus supporting the sire choice hypothesis.

### 4.1. Limitations

The present data do not address by which proximate mechanisms men’s attractiveness, dominance, and masculinity may affect the timing and frequency of their partners’ orgasms. Several possibilities exist, including greater psychological excitement resulting from the male’s visual (e.g., Penton-Voak & Perrett, 2000), acoustic (e.g., Puts, 2000), or other stimuli (e.g., tactile). We also speculate that increased dominance and attractiveness may affect the female partner’s investment in the relationship (e.g., economic support, emotional commitment).

### Table 6

Zero-order correlations among female orgasm frequency items (and N)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t6.4</td>
<td>.55*** (86)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.56*** (85)</td>
<td>-0.08 (82)</td>
<td>.14 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t6.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.18 (85)</td>
<td>-0.06 (83)</td>
<td>.06 (58)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t6.6</td>
<td>.16 (84)</td>
<td>.09 (81)</td>
<td>.17 (56)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t6.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>.05 (81)</td>
<td>-0.02 (57)</td>
<td>.33* (61)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t6.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p<.01.  † p<.10.  * p<.05  *** p<.001.
2005) or olfactory (e.g., Wedekind, Seebeck, Bettens, & Paepke, 1995) qualities; physical tactile characteristics of the male, possibly including musculature (Frederick & Haselton, 2007), weight (Thornhill et al., 1995), and penis size (Brody & Weiss, 2010; Lever, Frederick, & Peplau, 2006; Miller, 2000); and superior sexual technique or duration (Singh et al., 1998; Weiss & Brody, 2009), perhaps resulting from the greater sexual experience of more attractive or dominant men (Hodges-Simeon, Gaulin, & Puts, 2010; Hughes & Gallup, 2003; Johnston, Hagem, Franklin, Fink, & Grammer, 2001; Perusse, 1993; Puts, Gaulin, & Verdone, 2006).

Similarly, our data provide little information about the specific sexual behaviors that led to women’s orgasms. For example, we asked female participants about the frequencies of their orgasms from sexual intercourse, defined this as vaginal penetration with the penis, and differentiated these orgasms from those obtained in other ways such as oral sex. Some participants may have interpreted this as a distinction between orgasms from sex with versus without penile-vaginal intercourse, while others may have interpreted this as whether the immediate cause of orgasm was vaginal penetration with the penis.

Finally, our data cannot definitively rule out alternative evolutionary hypotheses, such as the hypothesis that orgasm in women is a byproduct of selection for orgasm in men (Symons, 1979). The present results would seem to suggest that female orgasm has been specially designed (Williams, 1966) for extracting genetic benefits. However, it is also possible that, for example, female orgasm is a byproduct of male orgasm, and that relationships between male mate quality and the frequency and timing of female orgasm reflect byproducts of pre-copulatory female mate choice mechanisms.

4.2. Summary

Although our results require replication, they are consistent with the hypothesis that female orgasm is a copulatory mate choice mechanism, perhaps for selecting high-quality genes for offspring. Future research should address the proximate mechanisms by which male mate quality influences the frequency and timing of their partners’ orgasmic response. More work is also needed to clarify whether female orgasm promotes conception, and if so, the role of its timing in relation to ejaculation.
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